arXiv:1404.6629v1 [gr-qc] 26 Apr 2014

The 3+1 decomposition of Conformal Yano-Killing tensors and "momentary" charges for spin-2 field

Jacek Jezierski and Szymon Migacz
Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics,
University of Warsaw, ul. Hoża 74, 00-682 Warsaw, Poland

April 29, 2014

Abstract

The "fully charged" spin-2 field solution is presented. This is an analog of the Coulomb solution in electrodynamics and represents the "non-waving" part of the spin-2 field theory.

Basic facts and definitions of the spin–2 field and conformal Yano-Killing tensors are introduced. Application of those two objects provides a precise definition of quasi-local gravitational charge. Next, the 3+1 decomposition leads to the construction of the momentary gravitational charges on initial surface which is applicable for Schwarzschild-like spacetimes.

Keywords: gravitation, general theory of relativity, Yano-Killing tensors, conformal transformations, Weyl tensor

1 Introduction

Purpose of this paper The charges associated with the gravitational field play a significant role in General Theory of Relativity. Our goal is to provide a definition of energy, momentum and angular momentum of a gravitational field using analogies between linearized gravitation and classical Maxwell's electrodynamics. Following [1] we shortly remind how, using the geometrical objects characterizing a gravitational field (spin-2 field $W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and conformal Yano-Killing tensors), one can obtain the quasi-local charges in Minkowski spacetime.

Using a 3+1 decomposition of the spacetime, we propose to define the momentary charges in terms of natural initial value tensors: the electric and the magnetic part of the spin-2 field and the initial value data for CYK tensor – Conformal Killing Vector field (CKV). This is applicable for the Schwarzschild spacetime which possesses much less CYK tensors than Minkowski (less "hidden" symmetries). Moreover, this construction works for any initial data which is conformally flat, in particular, for any spherically symmetric three-metric. Obviously, it leads to quasi-local quantities defined on a Cauchy surface.

Remarks about the notation In this paper we assume that the metric g has a positive signature $(-,+,+,+)$ and we are using units, where $c = G = 1$. Antisymmetrization of a tensor is denoted with square brackets, symmetrization with round brackets. A four-dimensional

covariant derivative (for a Levi-Civita connection) is denoted by ∇ or with a semicolon. A three-dimensional covariant derivative is on the other hand denoted by $\overset{3}{\nabla}$ or with a vertical segment. Greek letters α,β,...,μ,ν,... are indices with values in the set $\{0,1,2,3\}$, whereas Latin letters i,j,... assume values in $\{1,2,3\}$.

1.1 Spin-2 field

We will begin with defining a spin-2 field, which can also be identified with a Weyl tensor in linearized gravitation.

Definition 1. *Tensor field $W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is called a spin-2 field if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:*

$$\textit{algebraic:} \begin{cases} W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = W_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = W_{[\alpha\beta][\mu\nu]}, \\ W_{\alpha[\beta\mu\nu]} = 0, \\ g^{\alpha\mu} W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

$$\textit{differential:} \quad \nabla_{[\lambda} W_{\alpha\beta]\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

$W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric in the first and in the second pair of indices, so we can define two dual tensors, for the first and the second pair of indices respectively:

$${}^*W_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\rho\sigma}{}^{\alpha\beta} W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}, \qquad W^*{}_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2} W_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{1.4}$$

A contraction of a spin-2 field with a normed vector n^μ perpendicular to the foliation Σ_t allows us to define two new tensors: the electric and the magnetic part of Weyl tensor.
Electric part:

$$E_{\alpha\beta} := W_{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} n^\mu n^\nu \,, \tag{1.5}$$

and magnetic part:

$$H_{\alpha\beta} := W^*{}_{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} n^\mu n^\nu \,. \tag{1.6}$$

Both defined tensors are symmetric (because of the symmetry of the field W) and traceless. Applying a contraction with n^μ to the electric or the magnetic part gives us zero (because of the antisymmetry of W in pairs of indices):

$$E_{\mu\nu} n^\mu = H_{\mu\nu} n^\mu = 0 \,. \tag{1.7}$$

The property (1.3) can be rewritten in an equivalent form ([1]):

$$\nabla_{[\lambda} W_{\alpha\beta]\mu\nu} = 0 \iff \nabla^\alpha W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0 \iff \tag{1.8}$$

$$\iff \nabla_{[\lambda} {}^*W_{\alpha\beta]\mu\nu} = 0 \iff \nabla^\alpha {}^*W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

1.2 Conformal Yano-Killing tensors

Previously introduced field W is a tensor field with four indices. If we would like to follow the analogy with the classical electrodynamics and the Maxwell tensor, we need to define a tensor field that has two indices. Additionally, we would be pleased if the integral of this new object didn't depend on the choice of the two-dimensional integration surface. We will show that the contraction of a field W with a conformal Yano-Killing tensor has both mentioned properties.

Definition 2. *Antisymmetric tensor $Q_{\mu\nu}$ is a conformal Yano-Killing tensor (CYK tensor) for a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ iff:*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mu\nu\kappa}(Q,g) = 0, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda\kappa\sigma}(Q,g) := Q_{\lambda\kappa;\sigma} + Q_{\sigma\kappa;\lambda} - \frac{2}{n-1}(g_{\sigma\lambda}Q^{\nu}{}_{\kappa;\nu} + g_{\kappa(\lambda}Q_{\sigma)}{}^{\mu}{}_{;\mu})$.

We are only considering four-dimensional case ($n = 4$), therefore:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda\kappa\sigma}(Q,g) := Q_{\lambda\kappa;\sigma} + Q_{\sigma\kappa;\lambda} - \frac{2}{3}(g_{\sigma\lambda}Q^{\nu}{}_{\kappa;\nu} + g_{\kappa(\lambda}Q_{\sigma)}{}^{\mu}{}_{;\mu}). \tag{1.11}$$

2 Minkowski spacetime

Introduction The flat Minkowski spacetime is the simplest possible background for linearized gravitation. Reasoning presented below will lead us to exact results which are very important. It will also help us gain some insight which will be useful considering less trivial metrics. Accordingly to the conditions imposed in the introduction, we will be looking for a natural object which can be integrated over two-dimensional surfaces and will fulfill Gauss Law.

Quasi-local charges – definition Now we combine a spin-2 field and conformal Yano-Killing tensor to define gravitational charge in the Minkowski spacetime.
Let us assume that $W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is a spin-2 field and $Q_{\mu\nu}$ is any antisymmetric tensor. The new tensor field[1] $F_{\mu\nu}$:

$$F_{\mu\nu}(W,Q) := W_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}Q^{\alpha\beta} \tag{2.1}$$

obeys the following

Lemma 1. *Divergence of a tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ takes the form:*

$$\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu}(W,Q) = \frac{2}{3}W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta\nu}.$$

If $Q_{\mu\nu}$ is a CYK tensor, then $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta\nu} = 0$ and Lemma 1 gives $\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu}(W,Q) = 0$.
Let V be a three-dimensional volume with a boundary ∂V, therefore:

$$\int_{\partial V} F^{\mu\nu}(W,Q)d\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \int_V \nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu}(W,Q)d\Sigma_\mu = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

[1]Intentionally we are using the same symbol as for the Maxwell tensor.

Using this last equality we can claim that each CYK tensor $Q_{\mu\nu}$ defines a charge connected with a spin-2 field. That's because the flux of a tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$ through any two closed two-dimensional surfaces S_1 and S_2 is equal if there exists a three-dimensional volume V with a boundary ∂V equal to the sum of the surfaces S_1 and S_2.

CYK tensors in Minkowski spacetime The basis of the space of solutions for the equation $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta\mu} = 0$ (i.e. the basis of CYK tensors) in Minkowski spacetime consists of the following 20 tensors (cf. [1]):

$$\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k, \quad \mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{D}, \quad \mathcal{T}_k \wedge \mathcal{D}, \quad \mathcal{D} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{0k} - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k, \tag{2.3}$$

$$*(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k), \quad *(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{D}), \quad *(\mathcal{T}_k \wedge \mathcal{D}), \quad *(\mathcal{D} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{0k} - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k)\,,$$

where $\mathcal{D} := x^\mu\partial_\mu$, $\mathcal{T}_\mu := \partial_\mu$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mu\nu} := x_\mu\partial_\nu - x_\nu\partial_\mu$. Each CYK tensor in Minkowski spacetime can be expressed as a linear combination (with constant coefficients) of these twenty tensors.

Gravitational charges in a 3+1 decomposition We begin with a following simple observation.

Lemma 2. *Each CYK tensor in Minkowski spacetime can be expressed in a following way:*

$$Q = a(t)\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge X + b(t) * (\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge Y), \tag{2.4}$$

where X, Y are (three-dimensional) conformal Killing fields; $a(t)$, $b(t)$ are functions of time only.

We will prove this Lemma by giving the proper decomposition of the basis tensors described by formulae (2.3).
Let us introduce the basis of conformal Killing fields (CKV) in a flat, three-dimensional space:

$$\mathcal{T}_k := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \quad \mathcal{S} := x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \quad \mathcal{R}_k := \epsilon_k{}^{ij}x_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, \quad \mathcal{K}_k := x_k\mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2}r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

The fields written above correspond[2] (respectively) to: translation (3), scaling (1), rotation (3) and proper conformal transformation (3). Now we are able to provide the decomposition of each tensor in basis (2.3) in a form given in the Lemma 2.

$$1^\circ \quad \mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k = \mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k \tag{2.6}$$

$$2^\circ \quad \mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{S} \tag{2.7}$$

$$3^\circ \quad \mathcal{T}_k \wedge \mathcal{D} = -t(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k) - *(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{R}_k) \tag{2.8}$$

$$4^\circ \quad \mathcal{D} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{0k} - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k = -\frac{1}{2}t^2\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k - t * (\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{R}_k) + \mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{K}_k \tag{2.9}$$

$$5^\circ \quad * (\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k) = *(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k) \tag{2.10}$$

$$6^\circ \quad * (\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{D}) = *(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{S}) \tag{2.11}$$

$$7^\circ \quad * (\mathcal{T}_k \wedge \mathcal{D}) = -t * (\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k) + (\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{R}_k) \tag{2.12}$$

$$8^\circ \quad * (\mathcal{D} \wedge \mathcal{L}_{0k} - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D})\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k) = -\frac{1}{2}t^2 * (\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{T}_k) + t(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{R}_k) + *(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge \mathcal{K}_k) \tag{2.13}$$

[2]Number of vectors in each class is represented by the number in the bracket.

We have obtained 20 tensors of CYK basis in a 3+1 decomposition. To calculate the charges, we have to contract each CYK tensor with a spin-2 field and then integrate the result over a two-dimensional surface. The integration is done for a fixed moment in time t (formally we would have to write: for a fixed value of parameter t which enumerates the leaves of the foliation Σ_t in the 3+1 decomposition). The contraction of a spin-2 field with a CYK tensor (written in a way proposed in Lemma 2) reduces to the contraction of the electric part with a conformal field (for tensors of the form $\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge X$) or to the contraction of the magnetic part with a conformal field (for the tensors of the form $*(\mathcal{T}_0 \wedge X)$).

We will write $E(X)$ and $H(X)$ to represent the charges obtained from a contraction of a conformal Killing field X with the electric and the magnetic part respectively. $E_0(X)$ and $H_0(X)$ are the initial values of the charge, $E_t(X)$ and $H_t(X)$ are the charges in time t.

Four of the tensors mentioned in section 2 are not time dependent (i.e. tensors with numbers: 1, 2, 5, 6), which also means that the charges associated with those tensors are constant in time. Four other tensors include expressions multiplied by first or second power of time. Now we can use the equation (2.2) and write equations of the evolution. Let's contract the tensors with numbers 3, 4, 7, 8 from section 2 with the spin-2 field, then rewrite the result in terms of the electric and the magnetic part. Finally we use the equation (2.2), writing that this contraction has to be zero, and now we can put all time-dependent components on one side of the equation. For the charges linear in time we have:

$$\begin{cases} E_t(\mathcal{R}_k) = t\, H(\mathcal{T}_k) + E_0(\mathcal{R}_k), \\ H_t(\mathcal{R}_k) = -t\, E(\mathcal{T}_k) + H_0(\mathcal{R}_k), \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

and for quadratic in time:

$$\begin{cases} E_t(\mathcal{K}_k) = \frac{1}{2}t^2\, E(\mathcal{T}_k) + t\, H(\mathcal{R}_k) + E_0(\mathcal{K}_k), \\ H_t(\mathcal{K}_k) = \frac{1}{2}t^2\, H(\mathcal{T}_k) - t\, E(\mathcal{R}_k) + H_0(\mathcal{K}_k). \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

We can classify the charges according to time-dependence (the number in brackets enumerates the charges in each class):

- (8) charges constant in time: $E(\mathcal{S})$, $H(\mathcal{S})$, $E(\mathcal{T}_k)$, $H(\mathcal{T}_k)$,
- (6) charges linear in time: $E(\mathcal{R}_k)$, $H(\mathcal{R}_k)$,
- (6) charges quadratic in time: $E(\mathcal{K}_k)$, $H(\mathcal{K}_k)$.

2.1 Partially charged solution – electric charge counterpart

In [1] we have proposed a "charged" spin-2 field configuration which is a non-oscilating monodipole solution of equation (1.3) with singularity at $r = 0$, and it possesses a global "potential" (linearized metric tensor). This is a spin-2 field analog of Coulomb solution in electrodynamics with electric charge (only). Let us denote by $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}$ the dipole functions on a two-dimensional sphere which correspond to constant three-vectors in Cartesian coordinates (x^k). More precisely, vector p^i corresponds to $\mathbf{p} = (p^i x_i)/r$ and, analogously, $\mathbf{s} = (s^i x_i)/r$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k^i x_i)/r$. The "charged" solution in spherical coordinates $y^0 = t, y^A = (\theta, \varphi), y^3 = r$, $A = 1, 2$ takes the following form:

$$W_{BC0A} = -\frac{3}{r^2}\epsilon_{BC}\left(\frac{\mathbf{s}_{,A}}{r} - \epsilon_A{}^D \mathbf{p}_{,D}\right), \tag{2.16}$$

$$W_{AB03} = \frac{6}{r^4}\epsilon_{AB}\mathbf{s}\,, \tag{2.17}$$

$$W_{3A30} = \frac{3}{r^2}\left(\frac{\epsilon_A{}^D\mathbf{s}_{,D}}{r} + \mathbf{p}_A\right)\,, \tag{2.18}$$

$$W_{3AB0} = \frac{3}{r^4}\epsilon_{AB}\mathbf{s}\,, \tag{2.19}$$

$$W_{3030} = -\frac{2}{r^3}\left(m + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r}\right)\,, \tag{2.20}$$

$$W_{0A03} = \frac{3}{r^3}\mathbf{k}_{,A}\,, \tag{2.21}$$

$$W_{ABCD} = \frac{2}{r^3}\left(m + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r}\right)(\eta_{AC}\eta_{BD} - \eta_{AD}\eta_{BC})\,, \tag{2.22}$$

$$W_{3AB3} = -W_{0AB0} = \frac{\eta_{AB}}{r^3}\left(m + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r}\right)\,, \tag{2.23}$$

$$W_{BC3A} = -\frac{3}{r^3}\epsilon_{BC}\epsilon_A{}^D\mathbf{k}_{,D}\,, \tag{2.24}$$

where indices $A, B, C, \ldots$ correspond to angular coordinates on S^2 and $x^3 = r$ is the radial coordinate.

According to [1], spin-2 field solution (2.16)–(2.24) results from linearized metric:

$$h_{00} = \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{2\mathbf{k}}{r^2}\,, \tag{2.25}$$

$$h_{0A} = -6\mathbf{p}_{,A} - \frac{2}{r}\epsilon_A{}^B\mathbf{s}_{,B}\,, \tag{2.26}$$

$$h_{03} = -\frac{6\mathbf{p}}{r}\,, \tag{2.27}$$

$$h_{33} = \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{6\mathbf{k}}{r^2}\,, \tag{2.28}$$

which in Cartesian coordinates (x^k) takes the following form:

$$h_{00} = \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{2k_m x^m}{r^2}\,, \tag{2.29}$$

$$h_{0k} = -\frac{6p_k}{r} - \frac{2}{r^3}\epsilon_{klm}s^l x^m\,, \tag{2.30}$$

$$h^{kl} = \frac{x^k x^l}{r^2}\left(\frac{2m}{r} + \frac{6k_m x^m}{r^3}\right). \tag{2.31}$$

The above symmetric tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the solution of linearized Einstein equations with the energy-momentum tensor localized on timelike curve $r = 0$ (as a distribution with the support in the center):

$$T^{00} = m\boldsymbol{\delta} - k^m \boldsymbol{\delta}_{,m}\,, \quad T^{0k} = p^k \boldsymbol{\delta} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{kml} s_l \boldsymbol{\delta}_{,m}\,, \quad T^{kl} = 0\,, \tag{2.32}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ denotes three-dimensional Dirac delta "function" and ϵ^{kml} is a skew-symmetric Levi-Civita tensor ($\epsilon^{123} = 1$).

2.2 Fully charged solution – magnetic monopole counterpart

A generalization of (2.16)–(2.24), corresponding in electrodynamics to magnetic monopole, one can obtain by introducing additional charges $\mathbf{q} = (q^k x_k)/r$, $\mathbf{w} = (w^k x_k)/r$, $\mathbf{d} = (d^k x_k)/r$. This is a "fully charged" spin-2 field solution which is an analog of electro-magnetic monopole – Coulomb solution with electric and magnetic charge. The quantities $\mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{w}$, $\mathbf{d}$ are obstructions for the existence of global linearized metric h.

Fully charged spin-2 field solution in spherical coordinates takes the following form ([1]):

$$W_{BC0A} = \epsilon_{BC}\left(\frac{3}{2r}\mathbf{q}_{,A} + \frac{3}{r^2}\epsilon_A{}^D \mathbf{p}_{,D} - \frac{3}{r^3}\mathbf{s}_{,A}\right), \tag{2.33}$$

$$W_{AB03} = \epsilon_{AB}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{q}}{r^2} + \frac{2b}{r^3} + \frac{6\mathbf{s}}{r^4}\right), \tag{2.34}$$

$$W_{3A30} = -\frac{3}{2r}\epsilon_A{}^D \mathbf{q}_{,D} + \frac{3}{r^2}\mathbf{p}_{,A} + \frac{3}{r^3}\epsilon_A{}^D \mathbf{s}_{,D}\,, \tag{2.35}$$

$$W_{3AB0} = \epsilon_{AB}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{q}}{2r^2} + \frac{b}{r^3} + \frac{3\mathbf{s}}{r^4}\right), \tag{2.36}$$

$$W_{3003} = \frac{3\mathbf{w}}{r^2} + \frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{6\mathbf{k}}{r^4}\,, \tag{2.37}$$

$$W_{A003} = \frac{3}{2r}\mathbf{w}_{,A} - \frac{3}{r^3}\mathbf{k}_{,A} - \frac{3}{r^2}\epsilon_A{}^C \mathbf{d}_{,C}\,, \tag{2.38}$$

$$W_{ABCD} = \left(\frac{3\mathbf{w}}{r^2} + \frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{6\mathbf{k}}{r^4}\right)\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\,, \tag{2.39}$$

$$W_{3AB3} = -W_{0AB0} = \eta_{AB}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{w}}{2r^2} + \frac{m}{r^3} + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r^4}\right), \tag{2.40}$$

$$W_{3ABC} = \epsilon_{BC}\left(\frac{3}{2r}\epsilon_A{}^D \mathbf{w}_{,D} + \frac{3}{r^2}\mathbf{d}_{,A} - \frac{3}{r^3}\epsilon_A{}^D \mathbf{k}_{,D}\right). \tag{2.41}$$

In Appendix A we give more information about Cartesian form of the formulae (2.33)–(2.41). Charges $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ correspond to metric tensors which are not vanishing at spatial infinity ($h_{\mu\nu} = O(1)$). One can show that b is contained in the metric

$$h_{0\phi} = 4b\cos\theta\,. \tag{2.42}$$

Similarily, charge $\mathbf{d}$ with direction along z-axis ($\mathbf{d} = d\cos\theta$) corresponds to singular metric

$$h_{\theta\phi} = 2rd\sin\theta\cos\theta \tag{2.43}$$

or

$$h_{r\theta} = 2d\left(\sin^2\theta\log\tan\frac{\theta}{2} - \cos\theta\right)\,. \tag{2.44}$$

Definition

$$E_{kl} := W_{k00l}\,, \tag{2.45}$$

$$H_{kl} := \frac{1}{2}W_{0kij}\epsilon^{ij}{}_{l} \tag{2.46}$$

of electro-magnetic part of spin-2 field applied to the fully charged solution gives:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} =& E(n_i\partial_r + y^A{}_{,i}\partial_A, n_j\partial_r + y^B{}_{,j}\partial_B) = -\frac{\eta_{ij}}{2}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{w}}{r^2} + \frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{6\mathbf{k}}{r^4}\right) - \frac{3}{r^2}n^k\mathbf{d}_{,l}(\epsilon_{kj}{}^l n_i + \epsilon_{ki}{}^l n_j) \\ &+ \frac{3}{2r^2}(n_i w_j + n_j w_i) - \frac{3}{r^4}(n_i k_j + n_j k_i) - n_i n_j\left(-\frac{3\mathbf{w}}{2r^2} - \frac{3m}{r^3} - \frac{15\mathbf{k}}{r^4}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

where $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ is the Euclidean metric and $n^k := x^k/r$, or in equivalent form:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} =& -\frac{m}{r^3}(\eta_{ij} - 3n_i n_j) - d^l\frac{3}{r^3}n^k(\epsilon_{kjl}n_i + \epsilon_{kil}n_j) + \\ &- k^l\frac{3}{r^4}(n_l\eta_{ij} + n_i\eta_{lj} + n_j\eta_{li} - 5n_i n_j n_l) - w^l\frac{3}{2r^2}(\eta_{ij}n_l - n_i\eta_{jl} - n_j\eta_{il} - n_i n_j n_l)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

and one more possibility in terms of derivatives of $1/r$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} =& \quad m\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)_{,ij} - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)_{,ij} - [(\vec{d}\times\vec{\nabla})_j\nabla_i + (\vec{d}\times\vec{\nabla})_i\nabla_j]\frac{1}{r} + \\ &- w^l\frac{3}{2r^2}(\eta_{ij}n_l - n_i\eta_{jl} - n_j\eta_{il} - n_i n_j n_l)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned} H_{ij} =& H(n_i\partial_r + y^A{}_{,i}\partial_A, n_j\partial_r + y^B{}_{,j}\partial_B) = -\frac{\eta_{ij}}{2}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{q}}{r^2} + \frac{2b}{r^3} + \frac{6\mathbf{s}}{r^4}\right) + \frac{3}{r^2}n^k\mathbf{p}_{,l}(\epsilon_{kj}{}^l n_i + \epsilon_{ki}{}^l n_j) + \\ &+ \frac{3}{2r^2}(n_i q_j + n_j q_i) - \frac{3}{r^4}(n_i s_j + n_j s_i) + n_i n_j\left(\frac{3\mathbf{q}}{2r^2} + \frac{3b}{r^3} + \frac{15\mathbf{s}}{r^4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

(2.50)

$$H_{ij} = -\frac{b}{r^3}(\eta_{ij} - 3n_i n_j) + p^l \frac{3}{r^3}[n^k(\epsilon_{kjl}n_i + \epsilon_{kil}n_j)] + \\ - s^l \frac{3}{r^4}(n_l\eta_{ij} + n_i\eta_{lj} + n_j\eta_{li} - 5n_i n_j n_l) - q^l \frac{3}{2r^2}(\eta_{ij}n_l - n_i\eta_{jl} - n_j\eta_{il} - n_i n_j n_l)\,, \tag{2.51}$$

and finally in terms of the derivatives of $1/r$:

$$H_{ij} = \left[\left(b - \vec{s}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\right)\nabla_i\nabla_j + (\vec{p}\times\vec{\nabla})_j\nabla_i + (\vec{p}\times\vec{\nabla})_i\nabla_j)\right]\frac{1}{r} + \\ - q^l \frac{3}{2r^2}(\eta_{ij}n_l - n_i\eta_{jl} - n_j\eta_{il} - n_i n_j n_l)\,. \tag{2.52}$$

Let us observe that exchanging $w \to q$, $k \to s$, $d \to -p$ and $m \to b$ in the electric part E we get magnetic part H. $E(w \to q, k \to s, d \to -p, m \to b) = H$ represents spin-2 field version of electromagnetic symmetry between electric and magnetic monopole.

Finally, we can check the values of quasi-local charges for the "fully charged" solution:

$$E(\mathcal{S}) = Q(E,\mathcal{S}) = \int\limits_{S(r)} E_{ij}\mathcal{S}^j n^i dS = 8\pi m\,, \tag{2.53}$$

$$E(\mathcal{T}_k) = Q(E,\mathcal{T}_k) = \int\limits_{S(r)} E_{ij}\mathcal{T}_k^j n^i dS = 8\pi w_k\,, \tag{2.54}$$

$$E(\mathcal{R}_k) = Q(E,\mathcal{R}_k) = \int\limits_{S(r)} E_{ij}\mathcal{R}_k^j n^i dS = -8\pi d_k\,, \tag{2.55}$$

$$E(\mathcal{K}_k) = Q(E,\mathcal{K}_k) = \int\limits_{S(r)} E_{ij}\mathcal{K}_k^j n^i dS = 8\pi k_k\,, \tag{2.56}$$

$$H(\mathcal{S}) = Q(H,\mathcal{S}) = \int\limits_{S(r)} H_{ij}\mathcal{S}^j n^i dS = 8\pi b\,, \tag{2.57}$$

$$H(\mathcal{T}_k) = Q(H,\mathcal{T}_k) = \int\limits_{S(r)} H_{ij}\mathcal{T}_k^j n^i dS = 8\pi q_k\,, \tag{2.58}$$

$$H(\mathcal{R}_k) = Q(H,\mathcal{R}_k) = \int\limits_{S(r)} H_{ij}\mathcal{R}_k^j n^i dS = 8\pi p_k\,, \tag{2.59}$$

$$H(\mathcal{K}_k) = Q(H,\mathcal{K}_k) = \int\limits_{S(r)} H_{ij}\mathcal{K}_k^j n^i dS = 8\pi s_k\,. \tag{2.60}$$

CYK tensors (2.6) and (2.7) correspond to four time-independent charges:

$$\dot{Q}(E,\mathcal{T}_k)=\dot{Q}(E,\mathcal{S})=\dot{Q}(H,\mathcal{T}_k)=\dot{Q}(H,\mathcal{S})=0\,. \tag{2.61}$$

Moreover, from (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain time-dependence of other quantities:

$$\dot{Q}(E,\mathcal{R}_k)=Q(H,\mathcal{T}_k)\,, \tag{2.62}$$

$$\dot{Q}(E,\mathcal{K}_k)=Q(H,\mathcal{R}_k)\,, \tag{2.63}$$

$$\dot{Q}(H,\mathcal{R}_k)=-Q(E,\mathcal{T}_k)\,, \tag{2.64}$$

$$\dot{Q}(H,\mathcal{K}_k)=-Q(E,\mathcal{R}_k)\,. \tag{2.65}$$

Finally, we have the following time evolution for the charges: eight quantities are constant, six of them are linear and other six are quadratic in time. More precisely, we have constant charges:

$$m(t)=m(0),\quad w_l(t)=w_l(0),\quad b(t)=b(0),\quad q_l(t)=q_l(0)\,, \tag{2.66}$$

linear in time:

$$p_l(t)=-tw_l(0)+p_l(0),\qquad d_l(t)=-tq_l(0)+d_l(0)\,, \tag{2.67}$$

and quadratic in time:

$$k_l(t)=-\frac{1}{2}t^2w_l(0)+tp_l(0)+k_l(0)\,,\qquad s_l(t)=-\frac{1}{2}t^2q_l(0)+td_l(0)+s_l(0)\,, \tag{2.68}$$

where $m(0)$ denotes initial value of m at $t=0$ and similarly for the rest of the quantities.

Traditional Poincaré charges Let us observe that traditional relations between angular momentum (or center of mass) and Killing vectors (e.g. ADM or Komar formula) are substituted by conformal acceleration. More precisely, we have the following table:

KV	Charges	CKV	
$\mathcal{T}_0$	$p_0\leftrightarrow m$	$\mathcal{S}$	(1) energy
$\mathcal{T}_k$	$p_k\leftrightarrow \mathbf{p}$	$\mathcal{R}_k$	(3) linear momentum
$\mathcal{L}_{kl}$	$j_{kl}\leftrightarrow \mathbf{s}$	$\mathcal{K}_k$	(3) angular momentum
$\mathcal{L}_{0k}$	$j_{0k}\leftrightarrow \mathbf{k}$	$\mathcal{K}_k$	(3) center of mass

Other quantities: $\mathbf{b}$ – dual mass, $\mathbf{d}$ – dual momentum , $\mathbf{w}$ – linear acceleration, $\mathbf{q}$ – angular acceleration are usually vanishing, if we want to have global "potentials" (linearized metric h like vector potential A for magnetic monopole). However, some parameters in Einstein metrics can be interpreted as topological charges, e.g. dual mass appears in Taub-NUT solution ([5],[6]) and dual momentum in Demiański metrics ([1],[7]). In [7] a large class of metric tensors is given (see also eq. (4.50) in [6]). It would be nice to check, if some parameters in those spacetimes correspond to charges $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ in some asymptotic regime.

3 Schwarzschild spacetime

Introduction For the Schwarzschild metric the construction described above for the Minkowski spacetime cannot be repeated, because the equation defining CYK tensors ($\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda\kappa\sigma} = 0$) has only two solutions (for Minkowski we had 20). One of them corresponds to the mass (i.e. after calculating the integral we get the charge corresponding to the mass which is equal to the parameter M appearing in a standard form of the Schwarzschild metric). The second solution can be classified as a dual mass and in our case it vanishes. Therefore we are forced to apply a different construction. Our goal is to define charges which are "local" in time.

Let's begin with writing down the Schwarzschild metric in the parametrization (t, r, θ, ϕ):

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1-\frac{2M}{r}} + r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2\right). \tag{3.1}$$

Now let us introduce a new coordinate $\bar{r}$ defined by the equality $r = \bar{r}(1+\frac{M}{2\bar{r}})^2$. Schwarzschild metric in coordinates (t, $\bar{r}$, θ, ϕ) takes the form:

$$g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = -\left(\frac{1-M/2\bar{r}}{1+M/2\bar{r}}\right)^2 dt^2 + \left(1+\frac{M}{2\bar{r}}\right)^4\left[d\bar{r}^{\,2} + \bar{r}^{\,2}\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2\right)\right]. \tag{3.2}$$

We observe that for a fixed value of t, the metric (3.2) is conformally flat (i.e. it takes the form of a flat-space metric multiplied by a conformal factor $\left(1+\frac{M}{2\bar{r}}\right)^4$). Using this fact we conclude that we have a set of ten conformal Killing fields, which are identical to the ones that we had for a flat 3-D space, since both metrics are conformally equivalent. Moreover, using the formula $K_{ik} = \frac{1}{2N}(N_{i|k} + N_{k|i} - \frac{\partial g_{ik}}{\partial t})$ we can easily check that the extrinsic curvature tensor K_{ik} vanishes.

Definition of momentary charges In the previous sections we have used the contraction of a spin-2 field with CYK tensors to define global charges, then we have shown (Lemma 2) that CYK tensors can be expressed as contractions of electric (or magnetic) part with conformal Killing fields. Following this lead we will try to provide a definition of the momentary charges for the Schwarzschild metric as contractions of E and H with conformal fields (ignoring the fact that we have not enough CYK tensors for this metric).

Let E_{kl} be an electric part, H_{kl} a magnetic part and X^l a conformal Killing field, then

$$\begin{aligned}(E^k{}_l X^l)_{|k} = (E^{kl}X_l)_{|k} = E^{kl}{}_{|k}X_l + E^{kl}X_{l|k} = E^{kl}{}_{|k}X_l + E^{kl}X_{(l|k)} = \\ = E^{kl}{}_{|k}X_l + \lambda E^{kl}g_{kl} = E^{kl}{}_{|k}X_l\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where we have used the fact that E^{kl} is a symmetric traceless tensor. Identical calculation can be repeated for the magnetic part H^{kl}.

Now we have to provide an expression for a three-dimensional divergence of an electric and a magnetic part. Let's use the following formula:

$$(g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}n^\lambda n^\nu)_{;\mu} = (W^\mu{}_{\lambda\kappa\nu})_{;\mu}n^\lambda n^\nu + g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}(n^\lambda n^\nu)_{;\mu}\,. \tag{3.4}$$

The first component on the right-hand-side is zero because of one of the properties of the tensor W (i.e. the four-dimensional divergence of W is zero iff (1.3), from the definition of spin-2 field). Now let's work on the left-hand-side:

$$(g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}n^\lambda n^\nu)_{;\mu} = ((g^{\sigma\mu} + n^\sigma n^\mu)W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}n^\lambda n^\nu)_{;\mu} = -(\overset{3}{g}{}^{ij}E_{j\kappa})_{;i}\,. \tag{3.5}$$

In the first equality we have added $n^\sigma n^\mu$, using the fact that W is antisymmetric, and then we applied the definition of the electric part.

$$(\overset{3}{g}{}^{ij}E_{j\kappa})_{;i} = (\sqrt{-g}E^i{}_\kappa)_{;i}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} = (N\sqrt{\overset{3}{g}}E^i{}_\kappa)_{|i}\frac{1}{N\sqrt{\overset{3}{g}}} = E^i{}_{\kappa|i} + E^i{}_\kappa(\log N)_{,i}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

We managed to transform left-hand-side of (3.4) to the form containing three-dimensional covariant divergence of the electric part. In equality (3.6) we used the formula $(\sqrt{-g}E^i{}_\kappa)_{;i} = (N\sqrt{\overset{3}{g}}E^i{}_\kappa)_{|i}$, which is proven in the appendix to this paper. Right-hand-side of (3.4) was calculated in a straightforward way for the metric (3.2). The details of this calculation are presented in the appendix. Finally we derived the following formula:

$$E^i{}_{j|i} = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

and from an identical reasoning for the magnetic part we have

$$H^i{}_{j|i} = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Both three-dimensional covariant divergences are zero. This means that the momentary gravitational charges (defined as a contraction of an electric or a magnetic part with a conformal Killing field) fulfill the Gauss law without sources. We can conclude that the value of the charge would not depend on the choice of the integration surface if there exists an appropriate volume just like it was for the Minkowski spacetime. This means that if we want to calculate the total charge inside any closed surface, we don't need to know the configuration of fields E_{ab} and H_{ab} inside this surface, we only need to know the values at the boundary. Results presented in this section (i.e. that the three-dimensional covariant divergence of an electric and a magnetic part is zero) could also be reproduced using formulas described in paper [8]:

$$E^i{}_{j|i} = +(K \wedge H)_j\,, \tag{3.9}$$

$$H^i{}_{j|i} = -(K \wedge E)_j\,, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\wedge$ is the operation defined below for two symmetric tensors:

$$(A \wedge B)_a = \epsilon_a{}^{bc}A_b{}^d B_{dc}\,. \tag{3.11}$$

Equations (3.9) and (3.10) imply that this construction of momentary charges can be applied to any metric fulfilling following conditions:

- spatial part of the metric is conformally flat for fixed time[3],
- extrinsic curvature tensor vanishes.

[3]Because we need all ten CKVs.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we reconsider the definition of quasi-local gravitational charges for the Minkowski spacetime in terms of conformal Yano-Killing tensors and a spin-2 field. The set of twenty charges, defined in that way, has properties very similar to the ones that are also valid for the electric charge, for example our gravitational charges fulfill Gauss law. We extend the definition of quasi-local gravitational charges. The Lemma describing the decomposition of CYK tensors into exterior product of a time translation vector field and a conformal Killing vector has lead us to the idea of defining momentary charges (as a contraction of an electric and a magnetic part with the conformal field) for a wide range of metrics (e.g. when the spatial part is conformally flat for fixed time and the extrinsic curvature vanishes), including the Schwarzschild spacetime. Moreover, we have proven by straightforward calculation that the charges, defined using the described procedure, fulfill Gauss law and we have given the conditions that are satisfactory to repeat this construction for a well-defined class of metrics.

In the future we would like to apply this construction for the case of asymptotically flat initial data. It is well known that some spacetimes admit (exact) CYK tensors ([3],[4],[5],[6]) but in general one should consider asymptotic CYK tensors ([2]) which correspond to the notion of strong asymptotic flatness. The existence of asymptotic conformal Killing vectors is less restrictive and it should lead to the definition of global momentary charge for different asymptotics at spatial infinity. In particular, angular momentum and center of mass correspond to conformal acceleration $\mathcal{K}$.

The content of this paper is the answer to the following question:
What is the analog of Coulomb solution (electric and magnetic monopole) for the spin-2 field?
For spin-1 field the solution is "monopole", for spin-2 field we have also dipole part. In Maxwell theory we have only time independent charges, for gravity we get also time-dependent quantities. The "wave part" of the theory starts from dipoles ($l = 1$) for electrodynamics and respectively quadrupoles ($l = 2$) for gravity. Hence the "charged part" for spin-1 field is represented by $l = 0$ but for spin-2 field we have $l = 0$ and $l = 1$. Finally, the analog of the electric-magnetic monopole in electrodynamics is given by the mono-dipole solution (2.16)–(2.24) for spin-2 field.

Acknowledgements Supported in part by Narodowe Centrum Nauki under the grant DEC-2011/03/B/ST1/02625.

A Fully charged solution

Cartesian components:

$$W_{0ij0} = \frac{3m}{r^3}n_i n_j + \frac{15\mathbf{k}}{r^4}n_i n_j - \frac{3}{r^4}(k_j n_i + k_i n_j) - \frac{\eta_{ij}}{r^3}\left(m + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r}\right), \tag{A.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ijkl} =& \frac{3}{r^3}\left(m + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r}\right)(n_i n_l \eta_{jk} - n_i n_k \eta_{jl} + n_j n_k \eta_{il} - n_j n_l \eta_{ik}) + \frac{2}{r^3}\left(m + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r}\right)(\eta_{ik}\eta_{jl} - \eta_{il}\eta_{jk}) + \\ &+ \frac{3}{r^3}\epsilon_{mkl}n^m n^p k_{,h}(\epsilon_{pi}{}^h n_j - \epsilon_{pj}{}^h n_i) + \frac{3}{r^3}\epsilon_{mij}n^m n^p k_{,h}(\epsilon_{pk}{}^h n_l - \epsilon_{pl}{}^h n_k), \end{aligned}$$

(A.2)

$$W_{0ijk} = \frac{3}{r^3}[(p_j - \mathbf{p}n_j)n_i n_k - (p_k - \mathbf{p}n_k)n_i n_j] + \frac{3}{r^4}(n_i n_k n^m \epsilon_{mj}{}^l s_l - n_i n_j n^m \epsilon_{mk}{}^l s_l) + \\ + \frac{3}{r^4} n^m \mathbf{s}(3\epsilon_{mjk} n_i + \epsilon_{mik} n_j + \epsilon_{mji} n_k) - \frac{3}{r^4}\epsilon_{mjk} n^m s_i + \frac{3}{r^3}\epsilon_{mjk} n^m n^h \epsilon_{hi}{}^l p_l \,. \tag{A.3}$$

Contraction with CKV: $\mathcal{T}_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$, $\mathcal{S} = x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$, $\mathcal{R}_k = \epsilon_k{}^{ij} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$, $\mathcal{K}_k = x_k \mathcal{S} - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$, gives

$$E_{ik}\mathcal{T}_j^k = -\frac{\eta_{ij}}{2}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{w}}{r^2} + \frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{6\mathbf{k}}{r^4}\right) - \frac{3}{r^2} n^k \mathbf{d}_{,l}(\epsilon_{kj}{}^l n_i + \epsilon_{ki}{}^l n_j) + \\ + \frac{3}{2r^2}(n_i w_j + n_j w_i) - \frac{3}{r^4}(n_i k_j + n_j k_i) - n_i n_j \left(-\frac{3\mathbf{w}}{2r^2} - \frac{3m}{r^3} - \frac{15\mathbf{k}}{r^4}\right) , \tag{A.4}$$

$$E^i{}_j \mathcal{R}_k{}^j = -\epsilon_k{}^{li} n_l \left(\frac{3\mathbf{w}}{2r} + \frac{m}{r^2} + \frac{3\mathbf{k}}{r^3}\right) - \frac{3}{r} n^i \mathbf{d}_{,k} - \epsilon_k{}^{mj} x_m \left(\frac{3}{r^4} n^i k_j - \frac{3}{2r^2} n^i w_j\right) , \tag{A.5}$$

$$E_{ij}\mathcal{K}_k^j = \frac{m}{2r}(n_i n_k + \eta_{ik}) - d^l \frac{3}{2r} n^m (\epsilon_{mil} n_k - \epsilon_{mkl} n_i) + \\ - k^l \frac{3}{2r^2}(-n_l n_k n_i + n_k \eta_{li} - n_l \eta_{ik} - n_i \eta_{lk}) + \\ - w^l \frac{3}{4}(-n_i n_k n_l - n_k \eta_{li} + n_i \eta_{kl} - n_l \eta_{ik}) \,, \tag{A.6}$$

$$E^i{}_j S^j = n^i \left(\frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{9\mathbf{k}}{r^3}\right) - \frac{3}{r} n^k \mathbf{d}_{,l} \epsilon_k{}^{il} + \frac{3}{2r}(n^i \mathbf{w} + w^i) - \frac{3}{r^3} k^i \,, \tag{A.7}$$

$$H_{ik}\mathcal{T}_j^k = -\frac{\eta_{ij}}{2}\left(\frac{3\mathbf{q}}{r^2} + \frac{2b}{r^3} + \frac{6\mathbf{s}}{r^4}\right) + \frac{3}{r^2} n^k \mathbf{p}_{,l}(\epsilon_{kj}{}^l n_i + \epsilon_{ki}{}^l n_j) + \\ + \frac{3}{2r^2}(n_i q_j + n_j q_i) - \frac{3}{r^4}(n_i s_j + n_j s_i) + n_i n_j \left(\frac{3\mathbf{q}}{2r^2} + \frac{3b}{r^3} + \frac{15\mathbf{s}}{r^4}\right) , \tag{A.8}$$

$$H^i{}_j \mathcal{R}_k{}^j = \epsilon_k{}^{li} n_l \left(-\frac{3\mathbf{q}}{2r} - \frac{b}{r^2} - \frac{3\mathbf{s}}{r^3}\right) + \frac{3}{r} n^i \mathbf{p}_{,k} + \epsilon_k{}^{mj} x_m \left(-\frac{3}{r^4} n^i s_j + \frac{3}{2r^2} n^i q_j\right) , \tag{A.9}$$

$$H_{ij}\mathcal{K}_k^j = \frac{b}{2r}(n_i n_k + \eta_{ik}) + p^l \frac{3}{2r} n^m (\epsilon_{mil} n_k - \epsilon_{mkl} n_i) + \\ - s^l \frac{3}{2r^2}(-n_l n_k n_i + n_k \eta_{li} - n_l \eta_{ik} - n_i \eta_{lk}) + \\ - q^l \frac{3}{4}(-n_i n_k n_l - n_k \eta_{li} + n_i \eta_{kl} - n_l \eta_{ik}) \,, \tag{A.10}$$

$$H^i{}_j S^j = n^i \left(\frac{2b}{r^2} + \frac{9\mathbf{s}}{r^3}\right) + \frac{3}{r} n^k \mathbf{p}_{,l} \epsilon_k{}^{il} + \frac{3}{2r}(n^i \mathbf{q} + q^i) - \frac{3}{r^3} s^i \,. \tag{A.11}$$

After contraction with normal we get:

$$E_{ij}\mathcal{T}_k^j n^i = \frac{2m}{r^3} n_k - \frac{3}{r^3} d^l n^m \epsilon_{mkl} - \frac{3}{r^4} k_k + \frac{9}{r^4} k^l n_l n_k + \frac{3}{2r^2}(w_k + w^l n_l n_k) \,, \tag{A.12}$$

$$E_{ij}\mathcal{R}^j_k n^i = -\frac{3}{r^2}(d^k - d^l n_l n^k) - \frac{3}{r^3}k^l n_p \epsilon^p{}_{lk} + \frac{3}{2r}w^l n_p \epsilon^p{}_{lk}\,, \tag{A.13}$$

$$E_{ij}\mathcal{K}^j_k n^i = \frac{m}{r}n_k + \frac{3}{2r}d^l n^m \epsilon_{mkl} + \frac{3}{2r^2}k^l(n_l n_k + \eta_{kl}) - \frac{3}{4}w^l(\eta_{kl} - 3n_k n_l)\,, \tag{A.14}$$

$$E_{ij}\mathcal{S}^j n^i = \frac{2m}{r^2} + \frac{6}{r^3}k_l n^l + \frac{3}{r}w_l n^l\,, \tag{A.15}$$

$$H_{ij}\mathcal{T}^j_k n^i = \frac{2b}{r^3}n_k + \frac{3}{r^3}p^l n^m \epsilon_{mkl} - \frac{3}{r^4}s_k + \frac{9}{r^4}s^l n_l n_k + \frac{3}{2r^2}(q_k + q^l n_l n_k)\,, \tag{A.16}$$

$$H_{ij}\mathcal{R}^j_k n^i = \frac{3}{r^2}(p^k - p^l n_l n^k) - \frac{3}{r^3}s^l n_p \epsilon^p{}_{lk} + \frac{3}{2r}q^l n_p \epsilon^p{}_{lk}\,, \tag{A.17}$$

$$H_{ij}\mathcal{K}^j_k n^i = \frac{b}{r}n_k - \frac{3}{2r}p^l n^m \epsilon_{mkl} + \frac{3}{2r^2}s^l(n_l n_k + \eta_{kl}) - \frac{3}{4}q^l(\eta_{kl} - 3n_k n_l)\,, \tag{A.18}$$

$$H_{ij}\mathcal{S}^j n^i = \frac{2b}{r^2} + \frac{6}{r^3}s_l n^l + \frac{3}{r}q_l n^l\,. \tag{A.19}$$

Integrating expressions (A.12)–(A.19) on S^2 we obtain (2.53)–(2.60).

Proof of the lemma 1

$$\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu}(W,Q) = \nabla_\nu(W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}Q_{\alpha\beta}) = (\nabla_\nu W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta})Q_{\alpha\beta} + W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(\nabla_\nu Q_{\alpha\beta})$$

The first component is zero because of the equation (1.8), for the second component:

$$\begin{aligned}
W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta\nu} &= W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\left[Q_{\lambda\kappa;\sigma} + Q_{\sigma\kappa;\lambda} - \frac{2}{3}(g_{\sigma\lambda}Q^\nu{}_{\kappa;\nu} + g_{\kappa(\lambda}Q_{\sigma)}{}^\mu{}_{;\mu})\right] = \\
&= W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(Q_{\alpha\beta;\nu} + Q_{\nu\beta;\alpha}) = (W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + W^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta})Q_{\alpha\beta;\nu} = \\
&= \left(W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}W^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2}W^{\mu\beta\nu\alpha}\right)Q_{\alpha\beta;\nu} = \\
&= \left(W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}W^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} + \frac{1}{2}W^{\mu\beta\alpha\nu}\right)Q_{\alpha\beta;\nu} = \\
&= \frac{3}{2}W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}Q_{\alpha\beta;\nu}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

In the first line we used the fact that W is traceless, in the second we renamed the indices, in the third we observed that Q is antisymmetric, and in the fourth line we applied equality $W^{\mu[\nu\alpha\beta]} = 0$.
Finally we get:

$$\nabla_\nu F^{\mu\nu}(W,Q) = \frac{2}{3}W^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha\beta\nu}.$$

Divergence of a tensor density with two indices

Let $\mathcal{L}^i{}_j$ be a tensor density, so

$$\mathcal{L}^i{}_{j;i} = \sqrt{g}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\mathcal{L}^i{}_j)_{;i} = \sqrt{g}(L^i{}_j)_{;i} = \sqrt{g}[L^i{}_{j,i} + L^k{}_j\Gamma^i{}_{ki} - L^i{}_k\Gamma^k{}_{ji}] =$$

$$= \sqrt{g}L^i{}_{j,i} + L^i{}_j\sqrt{g}_{,i} - \sqrt{g}L^i{}_k\Gamma^k{}_{ji} = (\mathcal{L}^i{}_j)_{,i} - \sqrt{g}L^i{}_k\Gamma^k{}_{ji}\,.$$

Assume that L^{ik} is a symmetric tensor and perform the contraction with a Christoffel symbol:

$$L^i{}_k\Gamma^k{}_{ji} = \frac{1}{2}L^{ik}(g_{kj,i} - g_{ji,k} + g_{ik,j}) = \frac{1}{2}L^{ik}g_{ik,j}\,.$$

Finally for a symmetric tensor L^{ik} we get:

$$\mathcal{L}^i{}_{j;i} = (\mathcal{L}^i{}_j)_{,i} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}L^{ik}g_{ik,j} = (\mathcal{L}^i{}_j)_{,i} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}^{ik}g_{ik,j}\,. \tag{A.20}$$

Now we can prove the formula $(\sqrt{-g}E^i{}_\kappa)_{;i} = (N\sqrt[3]{g}E^i{}_\kappa)_{|i}$ used in equality (3.6). Let $\mathcal{E}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}W_{\mu\lambda\kappa\nu}n^\lambda n^\kappa$. Therefore we can write:

$$\mathcal{E}^\mu{}_k = g^{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{E}_{\lambda k} = (g^{\mu\lambda} + n^\mu n^\lambda)\mathcal{E}_{\lambda k} = \overset{3}{g}{}^{\mu\lambda}\mathcal{E}_{\lambda k}\,.$$

Next using the equation (A.20) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^\mu{}_{k;\mu} = \mathcal{E}^\mu{}_{k,\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu}g_{\mu\nu,k} = \mathcal{E}^i{}_{k,i} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}^{ij}g_{ij,k} = \\ (N\sqrt[3]{g}E^i{}_k)_{,i} - \frac{1}{2}N\sqrt[3]{g}E^{ij}g_{ij,k} = (N\sqrt[3]{g}E^i{}_k)_{|i}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

Right-hand-side of (3.4) for the metric (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}(n^\lambda n^\nu)_{;\mu} &= g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}(n^\lambda n^\nu)_{,\mu} + g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}n^\lambda n^\alpha\Gamma^\nu_{\alpha\mu} + g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa\nu}n^\nu n^\alpha\Gamma^\lambda_{\alpha\mu} \\ &= g^{11}W_{10\kappa0}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)_{,1} + g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma0\kappa\nu}\frac{1}{N^2}\Gamma^\nu_{0\mu} + g^{\sigma\mu}W_{\sigma\lambda\kappa0}\frac{1}{N^2}\Gamma^\lambda_{0\nu} \\ &= g^{11}W_{10\kappa0}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right)_{,1} + \frac{1}{N^2}\Gamma^0_{01}g^{11}W_{10\kappa0} + \frac{1}{N^2}(\Gamma^0_{01}g^{11} - g^{00}\Gamma^1_{00})W_{10\kappa0} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2}W_{10\kappa0}[2\Gamma^0_{01}g^{11} - g^{00}\Gamma^1_{00} - 2g^{11}(\log(N))_{,1}]\end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

In the calculation above we used the fact that each Christoffel symbol of the form $\Gamma^\mu_{0\nu}$ excluding Γ^0_{01} and Γ^1_{00} is zero.

Proof of the formula (3.7)

To derive the final formula for the divergence of the electric part, we have to use the results from equations (3.6) and (A.22):

$$E^{i}{}_{\kappa|i} = -\frac{1}{N^2} W_{10\kappa 0}[2\Gamma^0_{01} g^{11} - g^{00}\Gamma^1_{00} - 3g^{11}\log(N)_{,1}]. \tag{A.23}$$

After substituting the values for the metric (3.2) we obtain

$$E^{i}{}_{\kappa|i} = 0. \tag{A.24}$$

Summary of basic facts used in a 3+1 decomposition

Four-dimensional metric can be expressed using the lapse (N) and the shift (N^m) in a following manner:

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{00} & g_{0k} \\ g_{i0} & g_{ik} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (N_s N^s - N^2) & N_k \\ N_i & g_{ik} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Inverse metric:

$$\begin{bmatrix} g^{00} & g^{0m} \\ g^{k0} & g^{km} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -(1/N^2) & (N^m/N^2) \\ (N^k/N^2) & (g^{km} - N^k N^m/N^2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Unit normal time-like vector:

$$n_\mu = (-N, 0, 0, 0), \qquad n^\mu = [(1/N), -(N^m/N)].$$

Connection of three-dimensional metric with a four-dimensional one:

$$\overset{4}{g}{}^{\mu\nu} + n^\nu n^\nu = \overset{3}{g}{}^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \overset{4}{g}_{\mu\nu} + n_\nu n_\nu = \overset{3}{g}_{\mu\nu}.$$

Volume element:

$$(-\overset{4}{g})^{1/2} \mathrm{dx}^0 \mathrm{dx}^1 \mathrm{dx}^2 \mathrm{dx}^3 = N \overset{3}{g}{}^{1/2} \mathrm{dt}\ \mathrm{dx}^1 \mathrm{dx}^2 \mathrm{dx}^3.$$

Non-zero Christoffel symbols of metric (3.2)

$$\Gamma^0_{01} = -\frac{4M}{M^2 - 4\bar{r}^2}, \qquad \Gamma^1_{00} = -\frac{64M\bar{r}^4(M - 2\bar{r})}{(M + 2\bar{r})^7}, \qquad \Gamma^1_{11} = -\frac{2M}{\bar{r}(M + 2\bar{r})}$$

$$\Gamma^1_{22} = \frac{\bar{r}(M - 2\bar{r})}{M + 2\bar{r}}, \qquad \Gamma^1_{33} = \frac{\bar{r}\sin^2\theta(M - 2\bar{r})}{M + 2\bar{r}}, \qquad \Gamma^2_{12} = -\frac{M - 2\bar{r}}{\bar{r}(M + 2\bar{r})}$$

$$\Gamma^2_{33} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta, \qquad \Gamma^3_{13} = -\frac{M - 2\bar{r}}{\bar{r}(M + 2\bar{r})}, \qquad \Gamma^3_{23} = \mathrm{ctg}\,\theta$$

References

[1] J. Jezierski, *The Relation between Metric and Spin-2 Formulations of Linearized Einstein Theory*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **27** (1995) 821–43.

[2] J. Jezierski, *Conformal Yano–Killing tensors and asymptotic CYK tensors for the Schwarzschild metric*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **14** (1997) 1679–1688.

[3] J. Jezierski, *CYK Tensors, Maxwell Field and Conserved Quantities for Spin-2 Field*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **19** (2002) 4405–4429.

[4] J. Jezierski and M. Łukasik, *Conformal Yano-Killing tensor for the Kerr metric and conserved quantities*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **23** (2006) 2895–2918.

[5] J. Jezierski and M. Łukasik, *Conformal Yano-Killing tensors for the Taub-NUT metric*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **24** (2007) 1331–1340.

[6] J. Jezierski, *Asymptotic conformal Yano-Killing tensors for asymptotic anti-de Sitter spacetimes and conserved quantities*, Acta Physica Polonica B **39** (2008) 75–114.

[7] J.B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, *A new look at the Plebański–Demiański family of solutions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D15, (2006) 335–370.

[8] L. Andersson, V. Moncrief, *Future complete vacuum spacetimes*, arXiv:gr-qc/0303045v1, in *50 years of the Cauchy problem in General Relativity*, eds. Chruściel and Friedrich, Birkhauser, Basel, (2004) 299–330.